

## Juduser

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 11, 2022 5:12 PM  
**To:** JudTestimony  
**Subject:** Opposition to Current Proposed Bill Introduced By Ned Lamont

### OPPOSITION to SB 16

Dear Co-Chair Winfield, Co-Chair Stafstrom, Vice Chair Flexer, Vice Chair Blumenthal, Ranking Member Kissel, Ranking Member Fishbein, and all members of the Judiciary Committee:

### OPPOSITION to SB 16 and HB 5416

I am against SB 16. If you really cared about reducing crime, you'd reduce crime. Making more lawful gun owners the target of your laws will accomplish nothing...except maybe get some elected. To say you are attempting to reduce crime is hypocritical as these bills will make law abiding citizens felons if they do not comply with this extreme overreach.

I am also against HB 5416. Limiting purchases does nothing to reduce crime but continually punish those who would seek a means of self defense or hobby. I have every right to lawfully buy how ever many of any legal item I want. My guns have never caused crime and should not be under any scrutiny because of "features you don't like or understand.

### SUPPORT for HB 5415, HB 5412 and SB 388

I am for HB 5415. Unless the state wants to reimburse me for my property, I should be able to transfer these items lawfully to another permitted person.

Additionally, I am also for HB 5412. A person's right to self-defense shouldn't end in church or any location. Just look to the event that happened in Texas to know that that man saved lives, there are countless examples of an armed citizen helping to save the lives of others. Recently a police officer was ambushed and armed citizens provided cover and assisted in retrieval of the wounded. Gun Free Zones are not crime free, just a liability to those following the law and a soft target to those who care little for the law or lives of others.

Lastly, know that I also support SB 388 for the same reason. I believe a lot of this is driven by individuals who know little to nothing about the things they are trying to regulate. If there could be civil discourse and the opportunity to show our side of the aisle, you may see our point of view clearer. Sadly I dont believe you will, I understand your aim but I cannot support this and I will do all that I can to civilly oppose this. You do not rule us, you are citizens at the end of the day.

Sincerely

Neal P Kirk  
860 670 7169